

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/998,659	11/29/2001	Thomas G. Xydis	65,116-036		
	7590 04/23/2003				
Hal Milton		EXAMINER LAU, TUNG S			
The Pinehurs	HOWARD ATTORNE Office Center, Suite #1				
39400 Woody Bloomfield H	vard Avenue ills, MI 48304-5151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	2863		
			DATE MAIL FD: 04/23/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								:/
į,				Application	No.	Applicant(s)		Ť
* è	Offic	Action Summary		09/998,659		XYDIS, THOMAS	G	/
	Onic		·	Examiner		Art Unit		
				Tung S Lau		2863		
Period fo	<i> ≀ne เทAเเ</i> or Reply	LING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the d	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any	MAILING Ensions of time not SIX (6) MONTHE period for reply period for reply ure to reply within reply received by	O STATUTORY PERIOD DATE OF THIS COMMUN nay be available under the provision HS from the mailing date of this comy specified above is less than thirty by its specified above, the maximum in the set or extended period for repuy the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136 nmunication. (30) days, a reply of statutory period will ly will, by statute of	6(a). In no event within the statuto Il apply and will e	, however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) daysexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	/. ommunication.	
1)	Responsi	ive to communication(s) t	filed on 29 No	ovember 20	01			
2a)□		on is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This					
3)		s application is in condition	•			osecution as to the	e merits is	
,	closed in ion of Clair	accordance with the pract	ctice under E	x parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	e memo is	
		1-17 is/are pending in the	application					
		above claim(s) is/a		n from cons	ideration.			
		is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1	-3 and 5-17 is/are rejecte	ed.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4	is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) _	are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	uirement.			
• •	on Papers							
		cation is objected to by th					•	
10) 🔲 -		g(s) filed on is/are			•			
44) 🗆 -		may not request that any ob						
11)		ed drawing correction file				ed by the Examine	r.	
12)[] -		d, corrected drawings are re	· ·		e action.			
		declaration is objected to	o by the Exar	miner.				
		S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
		gment is made of a claim	i for foreign p	oriority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)[Some * c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
					• •			
	а	es of the certified copies application from the Interr ched detailed Office action	national Bure	au (PCT Ru	ile 17.2(a)).		Stage	
14)⊠ A	cknowledgr	ment is made of a claim f	or domestic	priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).	
_ a)	☐ The tra	inslation of the foreign lar ment is made of a claim t	nguage provi	sional appli	cation has been rece	ived.		
\ttachment								
) 🔲 Notice	of Draftspers	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (F ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) aper No(s) <u>2, 3</u>	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s Itent Application (PTO) -152)	

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wallstedt et al. (U.S. Patent 6,330,450).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11:

Wallstedt discloses a method of determining proximity of a user having a first electronic device to a second electronic device for allowing the user access to the second electronic device (fig. 1, abstract), said method comprising the steps of transmitting data in a plurality of signals at different frequencies to establish communication between the first electronic device and the second electronic device (col. 1, lines 40-60); detecting the plurality of signals at the different frequencies with the first electronic device (col. 1-2, lines 61-3); measuring a signal strength for each of a predetermined number of the detected plurality of signals at the different frequencies (col. 1-2, lines 60-3); said method characterized by determining an overall signal strength from the predetermined

Art Unit: 2863

number of measured signal strengths and comparing the overall signal strength to a predetermined threshold for enabling the second electronic device in response to the overall signal strength being above the predetermined threshold (abstract), isolated signal (col. 1-2, lines 61-3), above predetermined threshold (fig. 3, 5), partitioning the data into data blocks (fig. 2, 12, unit 1204), secure transmission (col. 8, lines 16-33), detection signal during time interval (abstract, col. 8, lines 25-33), average over time (col. 4, lines 54-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - a. Claims 6, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallstedt et al. (U.S. Patent 6,330,450) in view of Nicholson (U.S. Patent 6,445,297).

Regarding claims 6, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17:

Wallstedt discloses a method including the subject matter discussed above except the use of maximum detected processing signal, modulated signal;

Nicholson discloses the use of maximum detected processing signal, modulated signal (abstract) to increase range of the signal (col. 5, lines 10-20).

Art Unit: 2863

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wallstedt to have the use of average and maximum detected processing signal, modulate signal taught by Nicholson in order to increase range of the signal (col. 5, lines 10-20).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to teach the use of logarithmic value of the signal.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 2863

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers : TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319

TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

TL

April 11, 2003

John Barlow

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800